| PREFERENCE BALLOT A preference ballot is a ballot in which the voter ranks the choices in | Example A group of friends is deciding on a movie to watch for their monthly movie night. They have three options: Action (A), Comedy (C), and Drama (D). Here are their preferences: Alice Bob Lisa Dave Eric Fiona Greg Hannah Ian Jessica 1st choice A A C D C C D D A A 2nd choice C C A C D D A A C C 3rd choice D D D A A A C C D D Action (A) Comedy (C) Drama (D) 1st choice 4 3 3 2nd choice 3 5 2 |
|---|--|
| | 3rd choice 3 2 5 |
| Plurality Method In this method, the choice with the most first-preference votes is declared the winner. | Plurality Method In this method, the choice with the most first-preference votes is declared the winner. Ties are possible, and would have to be settled through some sort of run-off vote. Action (A) won 4 out of 10 votes: Ist choice A A C D C C D D A A 2nd choice C C A C D D A A C C |

3rd choice D D D A A A C Action (A) Comedy (C) Drama (D) 3 1st choice 4 2nd choice 5 3 3rd choice 3 2

C D D

3

2

5

| Question In a student council election, three candidates are vying for the position of president: Alex (A), Brooke (B), and Chris (C). The voting schedule is provided below. Who wins under the plurality method? $32 \ 20 \ 10 \ 20 \ 40$ 1st A B C C A 2nd B C B A C 3rd C A A B B Alex (A) Brooke (B) Chris (C) | What's Wrong with Plurality? 2 2 3 3 1st choice A A O H 2nd choice O H H A 3rd choice O H H A 3rd choice H O A O Anaheim vs Orlando: 7 out of the 10 would prefer Anaheim over Orlando Anaheim vs Hawaii: 6 out of 10 would prefer Hawaii over Anaheim This doesn't seem right, does it? Anaheim just won the election, yet 6 out of 10 voters, 60% of them, would have preferred Hawaii! | | | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| FAIRNESS CRITERIA (Marquis de Condorcet) The fairness criteria are statements that seem like they | Condorcet Criterion If there is a choice that is preferred in every one-to-one comparison with the other choices, that choice should be the winner. We call this winner the , or | | | | | | | |

| Question In a potluck party, attendees are voting for their preferred dish to be included in the menu. The options are Lasagna (LA), Tacos (TA), and Sushi (SU). Here's the preference schedule: 1 3 3 3 1st choice LA LA TA SU 2nd choice TA SU LA TA 3rd choice SU TA LA LA | Example Let's consider a scenario where a group of friends is voting for the destination of their next vacation. The options are Paris (PA), Rome (RO), and Tokyo (TO). Here's the preference schedule: | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Lasagna (LA) vs Tacos (TA): voters prefer Lasagna (LA) vs Sushi (SU): voters prefer Tacos (TA) vs Sushi (SU): voters prefer is the Condorcet winner | Paris (PA) vs Rome (RO):out of 10 voters prefer Paris over Rome. Paris (PA) vs Tokyo (TO): out of 10 voters prefer Paris over Tokyo. Rome (RO) vs Tokyo (TO): out of 10 voters prefer Rome over Tokyo. Based on these comparisons, Paris (PA) emerges as the Condorcet winner since it is preferred over both Rome and Tokyo in head-to-head matchups. | | | | | |
| Example Let's consider a university student government election in a campus with a diverse student body. In this election, there are three candidates: Sarah and Mike, both representing progressive ideologies, and Emily, a conservative candidate. The preference schedule for the votes looks as follows: 375 245 234 1st choice Emily Sarah Mike 2nd choice Sarah Mike Sarah 3rd choice Mike Emily Emily | Example 375 245 234 1st choice Emily Sarah Mike 2nd choice Sarah Mike Sarah 3rd choice Mike Emily Emily We can see a total of 854 voters participated in this election. Computing the percentage of first-place votes: Sarah:/854 = 28.7% Mike:/854 = 27.4% Emily:/854 = 43.9% So in this election, the progressive voters split their votes between Sarah and Mike, allowing the conservative candidate Emily to win under the | | | | | |
| We can see a total of 854 voters participated in this election. Computing the percentage of first-place votes: Sarah:/854 = 28.7% Mike:/854 = 27.4% Emily:/854 = 43.9% So in this election, the progressive voters split their votes between Sarah and Mike, allowing the conservative candidate Emily to win under the plurality method with 43.9% of the vote. | plurality method with 43.9% of the vote. However, analyzing this election closer, we see that it violates the Analyzing the one-to-one comparisons:Emily vs Sarah: 375 prefer Emily; 479 prefer Sarah: Sarah is preferred Emily vs Mike: 375 prefer Emily; 479 prefer Mike: Mike is preferred Sarah vs Mike: 620 prefer Sarah; 234 prefer Mike: Sarah is preferred So even though Sarah had the smallest number of first-place votes in the election, she is the Condorcet winner, being preferred in every one-to-one comparison with the other candidates | | | | | |

Question

Candidate B vs C:

Is there a Condorcet winner in the following?

| | 30 | 20 | 10 | 40 | 20 | 30 |
|--------------------------------|--------------|----|----|----|----|----|
| 1st choice | Α | Α | В | С | С | В |
| 2nd choice | В | С | С | В | А | Α |
| 3rd choice | С | В | А | А | В | С |
| Candidate A v Candidate A v | s B: s C: | | | | | |

Example of insincere voting Imagine a fictional election for the Student Council President at a university. There are three candidates: Alice, Bob, and Claudia. Alice and Bob are both popular candidates and have similar platforms, while Claudia is less well-known and has different views.

A group of students strongly supports Alice but realizes that if they split their votes between Alice and Bob, Claudia might win.

To prevent Claudia from winning, some of Alice's supporters decide to strategically vote for Bob instead, even though they prefer Alice, to consolidate support behind one candidate. Similarly, some of Bob's supporters may also vote for Alice instead of Bob to ensure that Claudia doesn't win.

Insincere voting

Situations when there are more than one candidate that share somewhat similar points of view, can lead to insincere voting. Insincere voting is when a person casts a ballot _____

Instant Runoff Voting

_____ (IRV), also called Plurality with Elimination, is a modification of the plurality method that attempts to address the issue of ______. In IRV, voting is done with

preference ballots, and a preference schedule is generated. The choice with the least first-place votes is then eliminated from the election, and any votes for that candidate are redistributed to the voters' next choice. This continues until a choice has a majority (over 50%). (IRV can violate the Condercet Criterion)

| Example of Instant Runoff Voting | Example of Instant Runoff Voting |
|---|---|
| 5246141st choiceBCBDBE2nd choiceCADCEA3rd choiceADCAAD4th choiceDBAECB5th choiceEEEBDCThere are a total of 22 voters. If this was a plurality election, B (without of 22) of first choice would win. So no one has a majority (12). Thus A is eliminated as A has no first place votes. | $ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$ |
| Example of Instant Runoff Voting5246141st choiceBDBDBE2nd choiceDBDEED3rd choiceEEEBDB | Example of Instant Runoff Voting 9 2 6 1 4 1st choice B D D B E 2nd choice D B E E D 3rd choice E E B D B We next eliminate E es it has 4 first choice water |
| 926141st choiceBDDBE2nd choiceDBEED3rd choiceEEBDB | We next eliminate E as it has 4 first choice votes 9 2 6 1 4 1st choice B D D B E 2nd choice D B D 2 1 1 1 |

Example of Instant Runoff Voting

| | 9 2 | 6 | 1 | 4 |
|-------------|-------|---|---|---|
| 1st choice | B D | D | В | D |
| 2nd choice | D B | В | D | В |
| | 10 12 | | | |
| 1st choice | B D | | | |
| 2nd choice | D B | | | |
| Thus D wins | | | | |
| | | | | |

Question

| Number of voters | 8 | 13 | 12 | 7 | 12 | 7 |
|------------------|---|----|----|---|----|---|
| 1st choice | В | С | Α | Α | В | D |
| 2nd choice | А | А | D | В | С | С |
| 3rd choice | С | D | В | D | D | В |
| 4th choice | D | В | С | С | А | Α |

Find the winner of this election under the plurality method.

Question

| Number of voters | 3 | 10 | 5 | 1 | 13 | 8 | 22 |
|------------------|---|----|---|---|----|---|----|
| 1st choice | W | W | С | С | D | Х | W |
| 2nd choice | Х | С | W | Х | Х | С | D |
| 3rd choice | С | D | Х | D | W | D | С |
| 4th choice | D | Х | D | W | С | W | Х |

How many voters voted in this election?

How many first place votes are needed for a majority? Which candidate/choice had the most first-place votes? Which candidate/choice has the least first-place votes? Which candidate/choice had the most last-place votes? Which candidate/choice has the least last-place votes?

Question

If there are 3 candidates in an election with a total of 25 votes, what is the minimum number of first-place votes a candidate could win with under the Plurality method?

MONOTONICITY CRITERION

| MONOTONICI | TY CRITERI | ON | Suppose there are 3 candidates, and 100 votes cast. | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| If voters change their votes, it should not (Improve or stay the same) | | The number of votes required to win is therefore 51Suppose the votes are cast as follows in an IRV electNumber of ballots1st Preference 2nd Preference39Andrew35Belinda26CarlyAndrew | | | | | | | |
| | | | Number of ballots 39 35 26 So Andrew wins (39+ | 1st Preference Andrew Belinda 26=65) to Belinda's | 2nd Preference Belinda Andrew \$ 35 | | | | |
| Suppose there are 3 ca The number of votes re Suppose the votes are Number of ballots 39 35 26 | ndidates, and 100 equired to win is cast as follows in 1st Preference 2 Andrew Belinda Carly |) votes cast. therefore 51. an IRV election. nd Preference Belinda Carly Andrew | Now suppose 10 Belin and rank Andrew first Number of ballots 49 25 26 Belinda has the least | ida voters drop thei instead. 1st Preference Andrew Belinda Carly first voters and is | ir support for her 2nd Preference Belinda Carly Andrew eliminated | | | | |
| Now suppose 10 Belind and rank Andrew first i Number of ballots 49 25 | a voters drop their instead. 1st Preference 2 Andrew Belinda | nd Preference Belinda Carly | Number of ballots 49 25 26 This time Carly now domite Andrew received | 1st Preference Andrew Carly has 51 votes and w | 2nd Preference Carly Andrew ins over Andrew, | | | | |

| Borda Count | | | | | | Example of Bo | orda | Cou 2 | nt | 1 | 4 |
|---|-------------------|--------------|----------|----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|---------|-------------|---------|-------------|-------------|
| In this method, | 1st choice | B | D | D | B | Ē | | | | | |
| candidates base | d on the | eir r | ank | ting | • | 2nd choice | D | В | E | E | D |
| 1 point for | | | | U | | 3rd choice | E | E | В | D | В |
| 2 points for | |) | | | | | 9 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 4 |
| and so on. The p | point va | lue | s foi | r all | ballots | 1st choice | B .9 | D •2 | D •6 | В •1 | Е •4 |
| are totaled, and | the can | did | ate | wit | h the | 2nd choice | D | B | E | Ē | D |
| | is | s the | e wi | inne | er. | | 9 | <u>_</u> ·2 | 6 | <u>_</u> ·1 | <u>_</u> •4 |
| | | | | | | 3rd choice | E | E | В | D | В |
| | | | | | | | 9 | _·2 | 6 | _·1 | •4 |
| Example of Bor | rda Cou | nt | | | | MAJORITY CR | ITEI | RIOI | V | | |
| | $\frac{9 \ 2}{2}$ | 6 | 1 | 4 | _ | If a choice has a mai | ority o | of first | t-plac | e vot | es that |
| 1st choice | B D | D | В | E | | choice should be the | winn | er. | , plac | | co, tilut |
| | 27 6 | 18 | 3 | 12 | _ | | | | | | |
| 2nd choice | D B | E | E | D | | | | | | | |
| | 18 4 | 12 | 2 | 8 | _ | | | | | | |
| 3rd choice | E E | В | D | В | | | | | | | |
| | 9 2 | 6 | 1 | 4 | | | | | | | |
| B: $27 + 4 + 6 + 3 + 4 = 44$ Under the Borda count method, is the winner. | | | | | | | | | | | |
| D: 18 + 6 + 18 + 1 | + 8 = 51 | vote | ers over | D but lo | ost. In the Borda | | | | | | |
| E: 9 + 2 + 12 + 2 + | + 12 = 37 | a ch plac | oice wit | th a maj s loses. | ority of first | | | | | | |

Copeland's Method

In this method, each

using all preferences to determine which of the two is more preferred. The more preferred candidate is awarded 1 point. If there is a tie, each candidate is awarded _____ point. After all pairwise comparisons are made, the candidate with the most points, and hence the most pairwise wins, is declared the winner.

The Copeland method satisfies the Condercet Criterion, Majority Criterion and Monotonicity Criterion.

Example

| Number of voters | 8 | 13 | 12 | 7 | 12 | 7 |
|---------------------------|------|--------|------|-------|--------|----------------|
| 1st choice | В | С | А | А | В | D |
| 2nd choice | Α | Α | D | В | С | С |
| 3rd choice | С | D | В | D | D | В |
| 4th choice | D | В | С | С | А | А |
| A vs B : A=+= | | B | =8+ | 12+7 | 7=27 | : A 1 point |
| A vs C : A=+= | = | C | 2=13 | +12- | +7=3 | 2 : C 1 point |
| B vs C: B=8+12+7+12= | = 39 | (| C=13 | 8+7= | 20 | : B 1 point |
| B vs D: B=8+7+12= 27 | |] | D=13 | 3+12 | 2+7= | 32: D 1 point |
| C vs D: C=8+13+12= 3 | 3 | • | D=1 | 2+7- | +7= 2 | 26 : C 1 point |
| A vs D: A=_+_+ | | 40 | D=1 | 2+7 | = 19 | : A 1 point |
| A:2 points, B:1 point, C: | 2 po | oints, | D: 1 | l poi | int, s | o A & D tie |

Example

In a school election for student council president, four candidates are competing: Alex (A), Beth (B), Chris (C), and Diana (D). The votes are cast as follows:

5463 1st choice ABCD 2nd choice BCDA 3rd choice CDAB 4th choice DABC

Totaling: A has 1 point B has 1 ½ points C has 2 points D has 1 ½ points

63 A vs B: 14 votes to 4 votes, ___ gets 1 point C D A vs C: 8 votes to 10 votes, ___ gets 1 point D A A vs D: 5 votes to 13 votes, ___ gets 1 point A B B vs C: 12 votes to 6 votes, ___ gets 1 point B C B vs D: 9 votes to 9 votes, ___ & __ gets 1 point C vs D: 15 votes to 3 votes, ___ gets 1 point

So ___ wins

Example

C has 1 point

D has $\frac{1}{2}$ point

Candidate A is then removed from the election: 5463 5463 5463 1st choice BCD 1st choice A B C D 1st choice BBCD 2nd choice B C D 2nd choice BCDA 2nd choice C C D B 3rd choice CD B 3rd choice CDAB 3rd choice DDBC 4th choice D BC 4th choice DABC B vs C: 13 votes to 5 votes, B gets 1 point B vs D: 9 votes to 9 votes, B & D get 1/2 point C vs D: 15 votes to 3 votes, C gets 1 point **Totaling**: So B wins B has $1\frac{1}{2}$ points

THE INDEPENDENCE OF IRRELEVANT ALTERNATIVES (IIA) CRITERION

If a ______ is removed from the ballot, it should not ______.

Equivalently, if choice A is preferred over choice B, introducing or removing a choice C should not cause B to be preferred over A.

Arrow's Impossibility Theorem

Arrow's Impossibility Theorem states, roughly, that it is not possible for a voting method to satisfy every fairness criteria that we've discussed.

So Where's the Fair Method?

At this point, you're probably asking why we keep looking at method after method just to point out that they are not fully fair. We must be holding out on the perfect method, right?

Unfortunately, no. A mathematical economist, Kenneth Arrow, was able to prove in 1949 that there is no voting method that will satisfy all the fairness criteria we have discussed.

Example

10 people prefer A to B10 people prefer B to C10 people prefer C to A

Consider the election below: 5 5 5 1st choice A C B 2nd choice B A C 3rd choice C B A

No matter whom we choose as the winner, 2/3 of voters would prefer someone else! This scenario is dubbed Condorcet's Voting Paradox, and demonstrates how voting preferences are not transitive (just because A is preferred over B, and B over C, does not mean A is preferred over C). In this election, there is no fair resolution. It is because of this impossibility of a totally fair method that Plurality, IRV, Borda Count, Copeland's Method, and dozens of variants are all still used. Usually the decision of which method to use is based on what seems most fair for the situation in which it is being applied.

Approval Voting

With Approval Voting, the ballot asks you to ______. The results are tallied, and the option with the most approval is the winner.

Approval Voting

A group of friends is deciding on which restaurant to go to for dinner. Three options are provided, and each person is asked to mark with an "X" which restaurants they are willing to go to. The results are:

| | Alex | Ben | Cara | Dan I | Emma | Frank | Gina Ha | nnah Ian | Julia | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|---------|---------|-------|----------|----------|-------|--|
| Italian | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | | Х | Х | | |
| Sushi | | Х | | Х | Х | | Х | Х | Х | |
| Mexican | Х | | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | Х | |
| Totaling the results, we find: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Italian re | ceived | l 7 ap | proval | ls, Sus | shi rec | eived | 6 approv | vals | | |
| Mexican received 8 approvals. | | | | | | | | | | |
| In this vote, Mexican would be the winner. | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Example

Α

B

С

| | 80 | 15 | 5 |
|------------|----|----|---|
| 1st choice | А | В | С |
| 2nd choice | В | С | В |
| 3rd choice | С | А | А |
| | | | |

80 15

X X

Χ

Х

5

Suppose that this election was held using Approval Voting, and every voter marked approval of their top two candidates. A is the winner

We will change this to approval voting where the top 2 choices are approved.

- X A has 80 votes, B has 100 votes
- X and C has 20 votes,
 - so B is the winner

Approval Voting

2nd choice

3rd choice

| A B C A r So app | Mila X X eceiv is prova | A AJ X X red s the ls to | Aisha X X A appro winne: try to g | Diego X ovals, B r. Suppo get B w: | Mei X X recei ose A in. | Sanjay X X ived J and J | Fatima X X appro Mei rer | A Amir X X vals ar nove (| Ling I X nd C re from | Natasha X cceived their |
|--|--|---|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| A B C No So | Mila X X w A l is | AJ X has _ now | Aisha X X _ appro the wi | Diego I X ovals, B nner. | Mei S X has | Sanjay X X app | Fatima X X rovals | Amir X X and | Ling N X _ receiv | Iatasha X ved 5. |
| Question Find the Condercet Candidate if they exist: Number of voters 6 9 12 | | | | | | | | | | |
| - | lst ch | noice | | В | B | А | | | | |

C A B

A C C

Question

Use the Copeland method to find the winner of the following:

| Number of Voters | 10 | 8 | 14 | 9 |
|------------------|----|---|----|---|
| 1st Choice | В | С | А | D |
| 2nd Choice | А | D | С | В |
| 3rd Choice | С | А | D | С |
| 4th Choice | D | В | В | А |

Question

Find the winner under the IRV method:

| Number of voters | 10 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 9 |
|------------------|----|---|---|---|---|
| 1st choice | D | А | С | В | Α |
| 2nd choice | В | В | В | С | С |
| 3rd choice | С | D | D | А | В |
| 4th choice | А | С | A | D | D |

Question

Find the winner under the Borda Count:

| Number of voters15612621st choiceCDABD2nd choiceAADDB3rd choiceBCBAC4th choiceDBCCA | Number of votersBorda pointsRankings63231st choiceFSM22nd choiceSMS13rd choiceMFFF: $6(3)+3(1)+2(1)=23$ S: $6(2)+3(3)+2(2)=25$ M: $6(1)+3(2)+2(3)=18$ |
|---|---|
| | C Independence of Irrelevant Alternatives Criterion D Condorcet Criterion |
| Question A city is voting for its next mayor, with three candidates: Candidate A, Candidate B, and Candidate C. The winning candidate under the unknown voting method is Candidate A. However, a significant portion of the population raises concerns about Candidate B's integrity, citing recent scandals. To address these concerns, they propose removing Candidate B from the options and holding a revote with only Candidate A and Candidate C. In the second round of voting, Candidate C emerges as the winner. Which fairness criterion has been violated in this election? A Majority Criterion B Monotonicity Criterion C Independence of Irrelevant Alternatives Criterion | |

Question

What Fairness Criterion is violated in the following Borda count election?